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 RESULTS FOR SINGULAR SOLUTIONS OF THE CAPILLARITY EQUATIONMarie-Francoise Bidaut-Veron

We study the singular solutions of the capillarity equation

$$
\operatorname{div} \frac{D v}{\sqrt{1+|D v|^{2}}}=K v \quad \text { in } \mathbf{R}^{N}
$$

with a $K<0$. We prove the global existence of a rotationally symmetric solution. We prove the uniqueness of a symmetric solution negative and concave near the origin.

Introduction. In this paper we study the existence and uniqueness of a singular solution of the capillarity equation in $\mathbf{R}^{N}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{div}\left(D v /\left(\sqrt{1+|D v|^{2}}\right)=K v\right. \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a $K<0$. The situation is quite different from the case $K \geq 0$, where every isolated singularity is removable [4]. We restrict our attention to the symmetric case where $v$ depends only on the distance $r$ from the origin.

Let

$$
u(r)=\sqrt{-\frac{K}{N-1}} v\left(\sqrt{-\frac{N-1}{K}} r\right)
$$

Then the equation is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{r^{N-1} u^{\prime}}{\sqrt{1+u^{\prime 2}}}\right)^{\prime}(r)=-(N-1) r^{N-1} u(r) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In [1], P. Concus and R. Finn conjectured the global existence and uniqueness of a singular solution of (2). They proved the local existence of a function $u$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(r)=-\frac{1}{r}+\frac{N+3}{2(N-1)} r^{3}+r^{3} \varepsilon(r) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon(r)=o(r)$ when $r$ goes to 0 . Up to the change of $u$ into $-u$, they got local uniqueness in a particular class: functions such that $\varepsilon(r) / r^{p}$ $(p<4)$ and $r \varepsilon^{\prime}(r)$ are bounded. The solution has an asymptotic development in powers of $r$ but the formal Taylor series is divergent.

In $\S 1$ we write the equation in terms of $z(r)=u^{\prime}(r) / \sqrt{1+u^{\prime 2}(r)}$, which leads us to a second order nonlinear equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta z(r)=(N-1) z(r)\left(\frac{1}{r^{2}}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-z^{2}(r)}}\right), \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with limit conditions $\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} z(r)=1, \lim _{r \rightarrow 0} z^{\prime}(r)=0$.
We give an a priori energy estimate for $z$ and $u$ in $\S 2$.
Then, in $\S 3$ we improve the results of local existence and uniqueness: we try to draw the maximum profit from the fixed point method introduced in [1], adapted to the function $z$. We get the local existence and uniqueness of functions $z$ such that $\left(z(r)-1+\left(r^{4} / 2\right)\right) / r^{6}$ is not too large, and then of functions $u$ such that ( $u^{\prime}(r)-1 / r^{2}$ ) is not too large. This result is an essential tool for uniqueness results of $\S 5$.

In $\S 4$, from the energy estimate for $z$, we get global existence in $[0,+\infty[$ for $z$, then for $u$. We study the behavior of $u, z$ for large $r$. They are oscillatory and go to zero when $r$ goes to infinity.

In $\S 5$, we prove the uniqueness of a solution $z$ nonincreasing near 0 , then the uniqueness of a solution $u$ concave near 0 . As the maximum principle fails, we use local comparison methods to obtain some accurate estimates near the origin, and prove that such functions $z, u$ are in the classes of uniqueness defined in $\S 3$.

1. New formulation of the problem. Up to the change of $u$ into $-u$, we shall deal with the existence and uniqueness of a singular symmetric solution of (2), negative near the origin. Let us recall the estimates given in [2]: every singular solution $u$ satisfies near the origin

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left(\frac{\pi+\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{N-1}} r+o(r)\right) \leq u(r)+\frac{1}{r} \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{N-1}} r+o(r) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\frac{u^{\prime}(r)}{\sqrt{1+u^{\prime 2}(r)}} \geq 1-\left(\frac{(\pi+\sqrt{2})^{2}}{2} r^{4}+o\left(r^{4}\right)\right) .
$$

Now we make a change of unknown function.
Proposition 1. The existence and uniqueness of a $C^{2}$ function $u$, singular symmetric solution of (1), is equivalent to the existence and uniqueness of a $C^{2}$ function $z$ solution of the second order semilinear elliptic equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\prime \prime}(r)+(N-1) \frac{z^{\prime}(r)}{r}=(N-1)\left(\frac{z(r)}{r^{2}}-\frac{z(r)}{\sqrt{1-z^{2}(r)}}\right), \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with limit conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} z(r)=1 ; \quad \lim _{r \rightarrow 0} z^{\prime}(r)=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Functions $u$ and $z$ are linked by the relations

$$
\begin{gather*}
z(r)=\frac{u^{\prime}(r)}{\sqrt{1+u^{\prime 2}(r)}}=\sin \psi(r),  \tag{9}\\
z^{\prime}(r)+(N-1) \frac{z(r)}{r}=-(N-1) u(r), \tag{10}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\psi$ is the angle between the tangent at $(r, u(r))$ and the $r$ axis.
Proof. Let $u$ be a singular solution of (2) and $z$ be defined by (9). Then equation (2) takes the form (10), also equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(r)=-\frac{N-1}{r^{N-1}} \int_{0}^{r} \rho^{N-1} u(\rho) d \rho, \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

since, from (5), (6), $r^{N-1} u(r)=O(1), r^{N-1} z(r)=o(1)$, when $r$ goes to 0 .
Now (9) is obviously equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}(r)=z(r) / \sqrt{1-z^{2}(r)} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we derive (10) and get (7); then (8) using (5), (6). Conversely let $z$ be a solution of (7), (8) and define $u$ by (10); then $u$ satisfies (12), (9), then (2), and $u(r) \sim_{r \rightarrow 0}-1 / r$, so that $u$ is singular.
2. A priori estimates. Now we get an estimate of the energy for $z$, which later on will be fundamental.

Proposition 2. Let z be a solution of (7), (8), defined on an interval $[0, R[$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(r)=\frac{z^{2}(r)}{2(N-1)}+\frac{1-z^{2}(r)}{2 r^{2}}-\sqrt{1-z^{2}(r)}<0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $g^{\prime}(r)<0$ in $] 0, R[$. Consequently

$$
\begin{gather*}
o<\sqrt{1-z^{2}(r)}<2 r^{2}  \tag{14}\\
\left.\left|z^{\prime}(r)\right|<\sqrt{N-1} \min (r, \sqrt{2}), \quad \text { in }\right] 0, R[ \tag{15}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. Multiplying (7) by $z^{\prime}(r)$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\prime}(r)=-\frac{z^{\prime 2}(r)}{r}-\frac{1-z^{2}(r)}{r^{3}}<0 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $z^{2}(r)<1$; multiplying (7) by $r^{2} z^{\prime}(r)$, we get also

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r^{2} g\right)^{\prime}(r)=-\left(\frac{N-2}{N-1} z^{\prime 2}(r)+2 r \sqrt{1-z^{2}(r)}\right)<0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

now from (8) we have $\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} r^{2} g(r)=0$, then $r^{2} g(r)<0$ in $] 0, R[$; hence (13) and (14). Then (15) follows from the fact that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 g(r)=\frac{z^{\prime 2}(r)}{N-1}+\left(\frac{\sqrt{1-z^{2}(r)}}{r}-r\right)^{2}-r^{2} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequences.
(a) We obtain other estimates for $z$ and $u$ in $] 0, R[$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
1>z(r)>1-\frac{\sqrt{N-1}}{2} r^{2} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

from (8), (15), and

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{r}{\sqrt{N-1}}<u(r)+\frac{1}{r}<\frac{N+1}{2 \sqrt{N-1}} r \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

from (10), (19).
Now from (14), (19) and (20), we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{2} \leq \max \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{\sqrt{N-1}}\right) \Rightarrow z(r)>0 \Rightarrow u^{\prime}(r)>0 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{2}<2 \frac{\sqrt{N-1}}{N+1} \Rightarrow u(r)<0 \Rightarrow z(r)>0 \Rightarrow u^{\prime}(r)>0 \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) We can improve the local estimates (5), (6): from (10), (14) and (15) we get, near the origin,

$$
\begin{gather*}
1>z(r)>1-\left(2 r^{4}+o\left(r^{4}\right)\right)  \tag{23}\\
-\frac{r}{\sqrt{N-1}}<u(r)+\frac{1}{r}<\frac{r}{\sqrt{N-1}}+O\left(r^{3}\right) \tag{24}
\end{gather*}
$$

Remark. Let us note an estimate of the energy for $u$, which has often been used in [2], [3]: let

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(r)=\frac{u^{2}(r)}{2}-\frac{\sqrt{1-z^{2}(r)}}{N-1} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.f^{\prime}(r)=-\frac{z^{2}(r)}{r \sqrt{1-z^{2}(r)}}<0 \quad \text { in }\right] 0, R[ \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence for any $r, s \in] 0,+\infty[$ such that $r>s$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{u^{2}(r)}{2}-\frac{\sqrt{1-z^{2}(r)}}{N-1} \leq \frac{u^{2}(s)}{2}-\frac{\sqrt{1-z^{2}(s)}}{N-1} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. Local existence and uniqueness. From Proposition 1, and (3), (9), we still obtain the local existence of a solution $Z$ of the problem (7), (8) of the form

$$
Z(r)=1-r^{4} / 2+O\left(r^{8}\right)
$$

near the origin. Now we prove a quite more accurate result, based on a fixed point method analogous to [1].

Theorem 1. Let $M<M_{0}=(N+8) / 3 \sqrt{N-1}$. Then, for $R_{0}$ sufficiently small, the problem (7), (8) admits a unique $C^{2}$ solution $Z$ in $] 0, R_{0}$ ] such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Z(r)=1-r^{4} / 2+r^{6} w(r)  \tag{28}\\
\left.|w(r)| \leq M \quad \text { in }] 0, R_{0}\right]
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. Let for any $y \in]-1,+1[$ and $r>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(y, r)=(N-1)\left(\frac{y}{r^{2}}-\frac{y}{\sqrt{1-y^{2}}}\right) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $M<M_{0}, R>0$, and denote

$$
B_{M, R}=\left\{v \in C^{0}([0, R])\left|\|v\|=\max _{r \in[0, R]}\right| v(r) \mid \leq M\right\}
$$

Then one can see as in [1] that the problem is equivalent to a fixed point problem: find a function $w \in B_{M, R}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=T(w) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
(31) $T(w)(r)$

$$
=\frac{r^{-(N+8) / 2}}{\sqrt{N-1}} \int_{0}^{r} \tau^{(N+2) / 2} F(w(\tau), \tau) \sin \frac{\sqrt{N-1}}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\tau^{2}}-\frac{1}{r^{2}}\right) d \tau
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(w, r)=2(N+2) r^{2}+\frac{N(N-4)}{4} r^{4} w+(N-1) w \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
+\Phi\left(1-\frac{r^{4}}{2}+r^{6} w, r\right)
$$

Let $w \in B_{M, R}$. Then there exists $\theta(r) \in[0,1]$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi\left(1-\frac{r^{4}}{2}+r^{6} w(r), r\right)= & \Phi\left(1-\frac{r^{4}}{2}, r\right)+r^{6} w(r) \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y}\left(1-\frac{r^{4}}{2}, r\right) \\
& +r^{12} \frac{w^{2}(r)}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \Phi}{\partial y^{2}}\left(1-\frac{r^{4}}{2}+r^{6} \theta(r) w(r), r\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y}(y, r) & =(N-1)\left(\frac{1}{r^{2}}-\left(1-y^{2}\right)^{-3 / 2}\right) \\
\frac{\partial^{2} \Phi}{\partial y^{2}}(y, r) & =-3(N-1) y\left(1-y^{2}\right)^{-5 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

hence for sufficiently small $r$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi\left(-1+\frac{r^{4}}{2}+r^{6} w(r), r\right) \\
&=(N-1)\left(-\frac{r^{2}}{8}+\right. O\left(r^{6}\right) \\
&+\left.+\frac{5}{8} r^{4} w(r)-w(r)-\frac{3}{2} r^{2} w^{2}(r)\left(1+O\left(r^{2}\right)\right)\right) \\
& r^{(N+2) / 2} F(w(r), r)= \frac{15 N+33}{8} r^{(N+6) / 2} \\
&+\frac{(N+1)(2 N-5)}{8} r^{(N+10) / 2} w(r) \\
&-\frac{3(N-1)}{2} r^{(N+6) / 2} w^{2}(r)\left(1+O\left(r^{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we integrate by parts the first term, cf. [2], and get

$$
T(w)(r)=\frac{15 N+33}{8(N-1)} r^{2}+O\left(r^{4}\right)+O\left(r^{2}\right)+R(r)=O\left(r^{2}\right)+R(r)
$$

with

$$
|R(r)| \leq \frac{r^{-(N+8) / 2}}{\sqrt{N-1}} \frac{3(N-1)}{2}\|w\|^{2} \int_{0}^{r} \tau^{(N+6) / 2} d \tau=\frac{\|w\|^{2}}{M_{0}} \leq \frac{M}{M_{0}}
$$

As $M<M_{0}$, we deduce that there exists $R_{1}=R_{1}(M)>0$ such that $T$ maps $B_{M, R}$ into itself for $R \leq R_{1}$.

Moreover, let $w, \hat{w} \in B_{M, R_{1}}$; then there exists $\eta, \xi \in B_{M, R_{1}}$ such that $\eta(r) \in[w(r), \hat{w}(r)]$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi\left(1-\frac{r^{4}}{2}+r^{6} \hat{w}(r), r\right)-\Phi\left(1-\frac{r^{4}}{2}+r^{6} w(r), r\right) \\
& =r^{6}(\hat{w}(r)-w(r)) \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y}\left(1-\frac{r^{4}}{2}+r^{6} \eta(r), r\right) \\
& =(\hat{w}(r)-w(r))\left(r^{6} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y}\left(1-\frac{r^{4}}{2}, r\right)+r^{12} \eta(r) \frac{\partial^{2} \Phi}{\partial y^{2}}\left(1-\frac{r^{4}}{2}+r^{6} \xi(r), r\right)\right) \\
& =(N-1)\left(-1+\frac{5}{8} r^{4}+O\left(r^{6}\right)-3 r^{2} \eta(r)\left(1+O\left(r^{2}\right)\right)\right)(\hat{w}(r)-w(r)) \\
& =(N-1)\left(-1-3 r^{2} \eta(r)+O\left(r^{4}\right)\right)(\hat{w}(r)-w(r))
\end{aligned}
$$

hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r^{(N+2) / 2}(F(\hat{w}(r), r)-F(w(r), r)) \\
& \quad=\left(-3(N-1) r^{(N+6) / 2} \eta(r)+O\left(r^{(N+10) / 2}\right)\right)(\hat{w}(r)-w(r))
\end{aligned}
$$

Then for any $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $R_{0}=R_{0}(\varepsilon, M)<R_{1}$ such that if $R \leq R_{0}$,

$$
\|T(\hat{w})-T(w)\| \leq\left(\frac{2}{M_{0}} \max (\|w\|,\|\hat{w}\|)+\varepsilon\right)\|\hat{w}-w\|
$$

and

$$
\|T(w)\| \leq \varepsilon M_{0}+\frac{\|w\|^{2}}{M_{0}}
$$

Then $\left\|T^{n}(w)\right\| \leq v_{n}$ where $v_{n}=\varepsilon M_{0}+\left(v_{n-1}^{2} / M_{0}\right), \quad v_{0}=M$. Now take $\varepsilon<\min \left(\left(M / M_{0}^{2}\right)\left(M_{0}-M\right), 1 / 6\right)$; then $v_{n} \searrow \lambda$ where $\lambda=$ $\left(M_{0} / 2\right)(1-\sqrt{1-4 \varepsilon})<2 \varepsilon M_{0}<M_{0} / 3$. Then

$$
\left\|T^{n}(\hat{w})-T^{n}(w)\right\| \leq a_{n}\|\hat{w}-w\|
$$

where

$$
a_{n}=\prod_{k=0}^{n}\left(\frac{2 v_{n}}{M_{0}}+\varepsilon\right) ; \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_{n}}=\frac{2 \lambda}{M_{0}}+\varepsilon<1
$$

then $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} a_{n}=0$; hence for large $n, T^{n}$ is a strict contraction. Then $T$ has a unique fixed point in $B_{M, R_{0}}$.

Remark. As in [1], we can prove that the function $Z$ has an asymptotic development near 0 in powers of $r^{4}$ whose first terms are

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(r)=1-\frac{r^{4}}{2}+\frac{15 N+33}{8(N-1)} r^{8}+o\left(r^{8}\right) . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now from (7)

$$
\left(r^{N-1} Z^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}(r)=r^{N-1} \Phi(Z(r), r),
$$

hence $Z^{\prime}$, then $Z^{\prime \prime}$ and all the derivatives of $Z$ have an asymptotic development near 0 , obtained by successive differentiations of the development of $Z$, and $Z$ is in $C^{\infty}\left(\left[0, R_{0}\right]\right)$. Indeed, by recursion the derivatives cannot have a development with negative powers of $r$. Then with equation (7) we obtain by recursion all the terms of the development and deduce the divergence of the Taylor series. Now observe that

$$
Z^{\prime}(r)=-2 r^{3}+o\left(r^{3}\right), \quad Z^{\prime \prime}(r)=-6 r^{2}+o\left(r^{3}\right),
$$

so that $Z^{\prime}(r)$ and $Z^{\prime \prime}(r)$ are negative near the origin.
Theorem 1 is still an improvement of the results in [1]. Let us apply it to the function $u$.

Corollary 1. Let $\tilde{M}<M_{0}$. Then for $\tilde{R}_{0}$ sufficiently small, the problem (2) admits a unique $C^{2}$ solution $U$ in $\left.] 0, \tilde{R}_{0}\right]$, singular, such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
U^{\prime}(r)=\frac{1}{r^{2}}+\omega(r),  \tag{34}\\
\left.|\omega(r)| \leq \tilde{M} \quad \text { in }] 0, \tilde{R}_{0}\right] .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. Let $\tilde{M}<M_{0}$ and $M<\tilde{M}$, and $U$ be the singular solution of (2) associated with the solution $Z$ defined by (28). Then by calculation

$$
U^{\prime}(r)=\frac{Z(r)}{\sqrt{1-Z^{2}(r)}}=\frac{1}{r^{2}}+w(r)+O\left(r^{2}\right),
$$

hence for $\tilde{R}_{0}$ sufficiently small $U$ satisfies (34). Let $u$ be another singular solution satisfying (34) in $\left.] 0, \tilde{R}_{0}\right]$ and $z$ be the solution of (7) (8) associated to $u$. Then by calculation

$$
z(r)=\frac{u^{\prime}(r)}{\sqrt{1+u^{\prime 2}(r)}}=1-\frac{r^{4}}{2}+r^{6} \omega(r)+o\left(r^{6}\right) .
$$

Then for $R$ sufficiently small

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
z(r)=1-\frac{r^{4}}{2}+r^{6} w(r) \\
\left.\left.|w(r)| \leq \frac{\tilde{M}+M_{0}}{2} \quad \text { in }\right] 0, R\right]
\end{array}\right.
$$

hence $z(r)=Z(r)$ near the origin, hence in $\left.] 0, \tilde{R}_{0}\right]$.
4. Global existence and asymptotic properties. Here we prove the existence of global solutions.

Theorem 2. Each solution $z$ of (7)(8), or equivalently each singular solution $u$ of (2), admits a unique extension defined on the whole interval $] 0,+\infty$ [.

Proof. From Proposition 1 we have only to consider $z$. Let $z$ be a solution of (7) (8) defined on an interval $[0, R)$. Let $x=\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)$, then equation (7) takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}(r)=G(r, x(r)) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G$ is a $C^{1}$ function on the open set $\left.W=\right] 0,+\infty[\times]-1,+1[\times \mathbb{R}$. Then $z$ admits a unique maximal extension, still called $z$, defined on an interval [0, $R_{m}$ ).

Suppose $R_{m}<+\infty$. From (15), $z^{\prime}$ is bounded; hence $z(r)$ has a limit $z_{m}$ when $r \nearrow R_{m}$. From Proposition 2, the energy function $g$, decreasing and bounded below by -1 , has a limit $\gamma<0$. By contradiction this implies $z_{m} \neq \pm 1$. Then, from (7), $z^{\prime \prime}$ is bounded near $R_{m}$, hence $z^{\prime}(r)$ has a limit $z_{m}^{\prime}$. Then $\left(R_{m}, z_{m}, z_{m}^{\prime}\right) \in W$, hence $z$ admits an extension to an interval $\left[0, R_{m}+\varepsilon\right)$, which is impossible.

Now we make precise the behavior near infinity of any solution:
Theorem 3. Each solution $z$ of (7), (8) admits a countable number of zeros, asymptotically separated by a distance of $\pi / \sqrt{N-1}$, and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{z^{2}(r)+z^{\prime 2}(r)}{r} \in L^{1}(] a,+\infty[), \quad \text { for any } a>0  \tag{36}\\
\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} z(r)=\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} z^{\prime}(r)=\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} u(r)=\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} u^{\prime}(r)=0,  \tag{37}\\
\frac{u^{2}(r)+u^{\prime 2}(r)}{r} \in L^{1}(] a,+\infty[), \quad \text { for any } a>0 \tag{38}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. Let $z$ be a solution of (7), (8) on $[0,+\infty[$. From Proposition 2, the energy function $g$ has a limit $\gamma<0$ when $r$ goes to $+\infty$. By contradiction, this implies that $\liminf _{r \rightarrow+\infty} \sqrt{1-z^{2}(r)}>0$. Then there exists $\alpha>0$ such that $\sqrt{1-z^{2}(r)}>\alpha$ for large $r$.

Let us make the substition $z=r^{-(N-1) / 2} y$ in equation (7); this equation becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}(r)+p(r) y(r)=0, \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(r)=(N-1)\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-z^{2}(r)}}-\frac{N+1}{4 r^{2}}\right) ; \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

for large $r$, we have $(N-1) / 2<p(r)<(N-1) / \alpha$; hence, from the Sturm comparison theorem, $z$ is oscillatory; moreover, let

$$
0<r_{1}<r_{2}<\cdots<r_{n}<r_{n+1}<\cdots
$$

be the zeros of $z$, simple because of the local uniqueness in (35); then the distance $d_{n}=r_{n+1}-r_{n}$ between two consecutive zeros satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{\alpha} \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{N-1}}<d_{n}<\sqrt{2} \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{N-1}} . \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover for any $r_{n}$ such that $r_{n} \geq 1$ - if $N=2$ there is no condition since $r_{1}>1$ from (21) - there exists a unique point $\left.s \in\right] r_{n}, r_{n+1}[$ where $z^{\prime}\left(s_{n}\right)=0$ : indeed, if not, there would exist an $\left.r \in\right] r_{n}, r_{n+1}[$ such that

$$
0=\left(r^{N-1} z^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}(r)=r^{N-1} z(r)\left(\frac{1}{r^{2}}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-z^{2}(r)}}\right)
$$

and then $z(r)=0$, which is impossible.
On the other hand, from (16) we deduce that, for any $a>0, z^{\prime 2}(r) / r$ $\in L^{1}(] a,+\infty[)$. In the same way, the function $f$ defined in (25) is decreasing and bounded below by $-1 /(N-1)$; hence it has a limit when $r$ goes to $+\infty$; then from (26) we deduce that

$$
\frac{z^{2}(r)}{r \sqrt{1-z^{2}(r)}} \in L^{1}(] a,+\infty[)
$$

hence (36).
Now let us prove (37), (38). Suppose first that $\gamma=-1$; then

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty}\left(\frac{z^{\prime 2}(r)}{2\left(N^{\prime}-1\right)}+\frac{1-z^{2}(r)}{2 r^{2}}+1-\sqrt{1-z^{2}(r)}\right)=0
$$

then $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} z^{\prime}(r)=\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} z(r)=0$. From (11) and (13) we get (37) and (38).

Suppose now that $\gamma>-1$; we will obtain a contradiction. For the extremal points $s_{n}$ of $z$ on $\left[r_{n}, r_{n+1}\right]$ we have $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \sqrt{1-z\left(s_{n}\right)^{2}}=-\gamma$ $\in] 0,1\left[\right.$, then $\left.\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left|z\left(s_{n}\right)\right|=k \in\right] 0,1\left[\right.$. Let $\sigma_{n}$ be the unique point of $] r_{n}, s_{n}$ [ where $z\left(\sigma_{n}\right)=z\left(s_{n}\right) / 2$. Then, from (15),

$$
\left|\frac{z\left(s_{n}\right)}{2}\right|=\left|z\left(\sigma_{n}\right)-z\left(r_{n}\right)\right| \leq \sqrt{2(N-1)}\left(\sigma_{n}-r_{n}\right)
$$

Hence with (41) we get for large $n$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{k}{4 \sqrt{N-1}}<\sigma_{n}-r_{n}<\frac{\sqrt{2 \pi}}{\sqrt{N-1}} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now for any $r \in\left[r_{n}, \sigma_{n}\right], \sqrt{1-z^{2}(r)} \geq \sqrt{1-z^{2}\left(s_{n}\right) / 4}$, then from the expression of $g$,

$$
\frac{z^{\prime 2}(r)}{2(N-1)} \geq g(r)-\frac{1-z^{2}(r)}{2 r^{2}}+\sqrt{1-\frac{z^{2}\left(s_{n}\right)}{4}}
$$

let $\mu=\gamma+\sqrt{\gamma^{2}+3} / 2>0$; hence for large $n$

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\prime 2}(r) \geq 2(N-1) \mu \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (42), (43) we deduce that for $n_{0}$ sufficiently large,

$$
\int_{n_{0}}^{+\infty} \frac{z^{\prime 2}(r)}{r} d r \geq \sum_{n \geq n_{0}} \int_{r_{n}}^{\sigma_{n}} \frac{z^{\prime 2}(r)}{r} d r \geq \frac{\sqrt{N-1}}{2} k \mu \sum_{n \geq n_{0}} \frac{1}{\sigma_{n}}
$$

Now from (41), (42), $\sigma_{n}=O\left(r_{n}\right)=O(n)$. This is impossible, since $z^{\prime 2}(r) / r$ is integrable on $] n_{0},+\infty[$.

Finally, we have $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} p(r)=(N-1)$, since $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} z(r)=0$. From the Sturm comparison theorem we get

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left(d_{n}-\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{N-1}}\right)=0
$$

Remarks.
(i) Obviously the function $u$ admits a countable number of zeros $\rho_{n}$, such that, from (22):

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\rho_{1}<r_{1}<\rho_{2}<r_{2}<\cdots<\rho_{n}<r_{n}<\rho_{n+1}<r_{n+1} \cdots ; \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

on [ $\rho_{n}, \rho_{n+1}$ ], $u$ has a unique extremum in $r_{n}$. From (27) we get $\left|u\left(r_{n}\right)\right|>$ $\left|u\left(r_{n+1}\right)\right|$, that is to say $\left|z^{\prime}\left(r_{n}\right)\right|>\left|z^{\prime}\left(r_{n+1}\right)\right|$, for any $n$.

Moreover $f\left(r_{1}\right)<f\left(\rho_{1}\right)$; this or (15) implies, cf. [5]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<u\left(r_{1}\right)=-\frac{z^{\prime}\left(r_{1}\right)}{N-1}<\sqrt{2 /(N-1)} . \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) Consider for simplification the case $N=2$. The function $p$ defined by (40) satisfies $p(r)>\left(1-\frac{3}{4} r^{2}\right)$. In the Bessel equation of order 1 ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta^{\prime \prime}(r)+\frac{\zeta^{\prime}(r)}{r}=\frac{\zeta(r)}{r^{2}}-\zeta(r), \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

we make the substition $\zeta=r^{-1 / 2} \xi$; this equation becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi^{\prime \prime}(r)+\left(1-\frac{3}{4 r^{2}}\right) \xi(r)=0 \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the Sturm comparison theorem, between two successive zeros in ] $0,+\infty$ [ of any Bessel function of order 1, there exists at least one zero of $z$; in fact exactly one for large $r$ since the zeros of the Bessel functions are asymptotically separated by $\pi$. Likewise between 0 and the first zero $R_{1} \neq 0$ of the function $J_{1}$, there exists at least one zero of $z$ (if not, for any $\varepsilon \in] 0, R\left[\right.$, we would have, with $\xi=r^{1 / 2} J_{1}$,

$$
\left[y \xi^{\prime}-\xi y^{\prime}\right]_{\varepsilon}^{R_{1}}=\int_{\varepsilon}^{R_{1}}\left(p(r)-1+\frac{3}{4} r^{2}\right) y(r) \xi(r) d r>0
$$

now $\xi(\varepsilon)=O\left(\varepsilon^{3 / 2}\right), y^{\prime}(\varepsilon)=O\left(\varepsilon^{-1 / 2}\right)$, hence $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \xi(\varepsilon) y^{\prime}(\varepsilon)=0$;

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} y(\varepsilon) \xi^{\prime}(\varepsilon)=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} z(\varepsilon) \varepsilon^{1 / 2}\left(\varepsilon^{1 / 2} J_{1}^{\prime}(\varepsilon)+\varepsilon^{-1 / 2} \frac{J_{1}(\varepsilon)}{2}\right)=0
$$

hence $y\left(R_{1}\right) \xi^{\prime}\left(R_{1}\right)>0$, which is impossible since $\left.y\left(R_{1}\right)>0, \xi^{\prime}\left(R_{1}\right)<0\right)$.
Using (22), we deduce the estimates

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{2 / 3}<\rho_{1}<r_{1}<R_{1} \simeq 3.8 ; \quad \sqrt{2}<r_{1} ; \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

notice that for the solutions $Z$ and $U$ we get numerically $\rho_{1} \cong 1.5$, $r_{1} \cong 2.8$.

It is an open question whether the extremal points of the function $z$ satisfy $\left(z\left(s_{n}\right)\right)=O\left(1 / \sqrt{s_{n}}\right)$, as is the case for Bessel functions.
5. Uniqueness under growth conditions. We have seen in $\S 4$ that the solution $Z$ defined in Theorem 1 is a decreasing function for small $r$. Differentiating (12), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}(r)=z^{\prime}(r) /\left(1-z^{2}(r)\right)^{3 / 2} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the solution $U$ is strictly concave for small $r$. We are going to prove reciprocally that any solution $z$ nonincreasing for small $r$ is equal to $Z$, any solution $u$ concave for small $r$ is equal to $U$ :

Theorem 4. There is a unique solution $z$ of (7)(8) in $] 0,+\infty[$ such that $z$ is nonincreasing near the origin. There is a unique singular solution $u$ of (2) in $] 0,+\infty[$ such that $u$ is concave near the origin.

Proof. Step 1. An estimate for $z$.
Let $z$ be a solution such that $z^{\prime}(r) \leq 0$ in an interval $] 0, \alpha[$; in terms of $u$, that means from (49) that $u^{\prime \prime}(r) \leq 0$ in $] 0, \alpha[$. Let $\rho \in] 0, \alpha[$ be fixed. We are going to compare $z$ to a function $\bar{w}$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{w}(r)=a r^{2}+b r+c r^{1-N} \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{w}(\rho)=z(\rho), \quad \bar{w}^{\prime}(\rho)=z^{\prime}(\rho), \quad \bar{w}^{\prime \prime}(\rho)=z^{\prime \prime}(\rho) \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

We find

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a=-\frac{N-1}{N+1} \frac{z(\rho)}{\sqrt{1-z^{2}(\rho)}}  \tag{52}\\
b=\frac{1}{N}\left((N-1) \frac{z(\rho)}{\rho}+z^{\prime}(\rho)+(N-1) \frac{\rho z(\rho)}{\sqrt{1-z^{2}(\rho)}}\right) \\
c=\frac{\rho^{N-1}}{N}\left(z(\rho)-\rho z^{\prime}(\rho)-\frac{N-1}{N+1} \rho^{2} \frac{z(\rho)}{\sqrt{1-z^{2}(\rho)}}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then from equation (7) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left((\bar{w}-z)^{\prime}\right. & \left.+\frac{N-1}{r}(\bar{w}-z)\right)^{\prime}(r)  \tag{53}\\
& =(N-1)\left(\frac{z(r)}{\sqrt{1-z^{2}(r)}}-\frac{z(\rho)}{\sqrt{1-z^{2}(\rho)}}\right) \\
& =(N-1)\left(u^{\prime}(r)-u^{\prime}(\rho)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

As $u^{\prime}$ is nonincreasing, we deduce from (51) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\bar{w}- & z)^{\prime}(r)+\frac{N-1}{r}(\bar{w}-z)(r) \\
& \left.=r^{1-N}\left(r^{N-1}(\bar{w}-z)\right)^{\prime}(r) \leq 0, \quad \text { in }\right] 0, \alpha[
\end{aligned}
$$

and then that

$$
(\bar{w}-z)(r)(r-\rho) \leq 0, \quad \text { in }] 0, \alpha[.
$$

As $z$ is nonincreasing we deduce that

$$
(\bar{w}(r)-z(\rho))(r-\rho) \leq 0, \quad \text { in }] 0, \alpha[.
$$

Let $k=r / \rho$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(k-1)(\bar{w}(k \rho)-z(\rho)) \leq 0 \quad \text { in }] 0, \alpha / \rho[. \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (50), (52), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{w}(k \rho)-z(\rho) \\
& \begin{aligned}
= & \frac{k^{1-N}}{N}\left[z(\rho)\left((N-1) k^{N}-N k^{N-1}+1\right)+\rho z^{\prime}(\rho)\left(k^{N}-1\right)\right.
\end{aligned} \\
& \left.\quad-\frac{N-1}{N+1} \frac{\rho^{2} z(\rho)}{\sqrt{1-z^{2}(\rho)}}\left(N k^{N+1}-(N+1) k^{N}+1\right)\right] \\
& =
\end{aligned} \begin{aligned}
& \frac{k^{1-N}}{N}(k-1)^{2}\left(z(\rho) P(k)+\frac{\rho z^{\prime}(\rho)}{k-1} Q(k)-\frac{\rho^{2} z(\rho)}{\sqrt{1-z^{2}(\rho)}} R(k)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
P(k)=(N-1) k^{N-2}+(N-2) k^{N-3}+\cdots+2 k+1  \tag{55}\\
Q(k)=k^{N-1}+k^{N-2}+\cdots+1 \\
R(k)=\frac{N-1}{N+1}\left(N k^{N-1}+(N-1) k^{N-2}+\cdots+2 k+1\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

As $z$ is positive near 0 , we obtain the inequalities, for sufficiently small $\rho$,

$$
\begin{cases}\frac{\rho^{2}}{\sqrt{1-z^{2}(\rho)}} R(k) \geq P(k)+\frac{\rho z^{\prime}(\rho)}{z(\rho)} \frac{Q(k)}{k-1}, & \text { if } k \in] 1, \alpha / \rho[  \tag{56}\\ \frac{\rho^{2}}{\sqrt{1-z^{2}(\rho)}} R(k) \leq P(k)+\rho \frac{z^{\prime}(\rho)}{z(\rho)} \frac{Q(k)}{k-1}, & \text { if } k \in] 0,1[ \end{cases}
$$

Take first $k=1+\rho$, for sufficiently small $\rho$. From the majorization (16) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\rho^{2}}{\sqrt{1-z^{2}(\rho)}} \frac{N(N-1)}{2}\left(1+\frac{2(N-1)}{3} \rho+o(\rho)\right) \\
& \quad \geq \frac{N(N-1)}{2}\left(1+\frac{2(N-2)}{3} \rho+o(\rho)\right)-N \sqrt{N-1}(\rho+o(\rho)),
\end{aligned}
$$

hence we get the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{1-z^{2}(\rho)} \leq \rho^{2}+2\left(\frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{N-1}}\right) \rho^{3}+o\left(\rho^{3}\right) \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now take $k=1-\rho$. Then we get in the same way the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{1-z^{2}(\rho)} \geq \rho^{2}-2\left(\frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{N-1}}\right) \rho^{3}+o\left(\rho^{3}\right) \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{1-z^{2}(\rho)}=\rho^{2}+O\left(\rho^{3}\right) \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

so we still sharpen the estimate (14).
Step 2. Improvement of the estimates.
Consider first a point $\rho$ where $z^{\prime}(\rho) \geq-C\left(\rho^{3}+o\left(\rho^{3}\right)\right)$ for a $C>0$.
Take $k=1+q \rho^{2}$, where $q$ is a parameter. Then from (56) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\rho^{2}}{\sqrt{1-z^{2}(\rho)}} \frac{N(N-1)}{2}\left(1+\frac{2(N-1)}{3} q \rho^{2}+o\left(\rho^{2}\right)\right) \\
& \quad \geq \frac{N(N-1)}{2}\left(1+\frac{2(N-2)}{3} q \rho^{2}+o\left(\rho^{2}\right)\right)-N \frac{C}{q}\left(\rho^{2}+o\left(\rho^{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

hence, taking $q=\sqrt{3 C /(N-1)}$ for the better estimate, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{1-z^{2}(\rho)} \leq \rho^{2}+4 \sqrt{\frac{3 C}{N-1}} \rho^{4}+o\left(\rho^{4}\right) \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, in the same way, with $k=1-q \rho^{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{1-z^{2}(\rho)} \geq \rho^{2}-4 \sqrt{\frac{3 C}{N-1}} \rho^{4}+o\left(\rho^{4}\right) \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now consider the function $\varphi=\psi^{2}$, where,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(r)=\frac{r^{2}-\sqrt{1-z^{2}(r)}}{r^{4}} \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi^{\prime}(r)=2 \psi(r) \psi^{\prime}(r) \\
& \quad=2 \psi(r) \frac{r^{-5}}{\sqrt{1-z^{2}(r)}}\left(r z(r) z^{\prime}(r)-2 r^{2} \sqrt{1-z^{2}(r)}+4\left(1-z^{2}(r)\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe that there exists no neighborhood of 0 where $\psi(r) \leq 0$ : suppose $\psi(r) \leq 0$ in $] 0, \beta$ ]; from (7) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{1-N}\left(r^{N-1} z^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}(r)=(N-1) z(r) \frac{\sqrt{1-z^{2}(r)}-r^{2}}{r^{2} \sqrt{1-z^{2}(r)}} \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence, from (8), $r^{N-1} z^{\prime}$ would be nondecreasing near 0 , then $z$ would be nondecreasing near 0 ; hence $z(r)=1, \psi(r)=r^{-2}$ near 0 , which is impossible.

Now consider three cases:

First case. There exists $\alpha>0$ such that $\left.\left.\varphi^{\prime}(r) \neq 0, \forall r \in\right] 0, \alpha\right]$. Then $\psi(r) \neq 0$, hence $\psi(r)>0, \forall r \in] 0, \alpha]$. Moreover we have $\varphi^{\prime}(r)>0, \forall r$ $\in] 0, \alpha]$ : if not, we would have $\varphi(r)>\varphi(\alpha)>0$, hence $r^{2}-\sqrt{1-z^{2}(r)}$ $>\psi(\alpha) r^{4}$, then from (8), (59) and (63)

$$
\left(r^{N-1} z^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}(r)<-\frac{N-1}{2} \psi(\alpha) r^{N-1}
$$

near the origin; and integrating twice

$$
z(r) \leq 1-\frac{N-1}{4 N} \psi(\alpha) r^{2}
$$

which is in contradiction with (23).
Now take $\rho$ sufficiently small; since $\psi^{\prime}(\rho)>0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
z^{\prime}(\rho) & >\frac{1}{z(\rho)}\left(2 \rho \sqrt{1-z^{2}(\rho)}-4 \frac{1-z^{2}(\rho)}{\rho}\right) \\
& \geq-2 \rho^{3}(1+O(\rho))
\end{aligned}
$$

then from (60) (61) we get the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sqrt{1-z^{2}(\rho)}-\rho^{2}\right| \leq 4 \sqrt{\frac{2}{3(N-1)}} \rho^{4}+o\left(\rho^{4}\right) \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

Second case. For any $\alpha>0$ there exists $r<\alpha$ such that $\psi(r)=0$. Then there exists $r_{1}<1$ such that $\psi\left(r_{1}\right)=0$. There exists $r_{2}<r_{1}$ such that $\psi\left(r_{2}\right)>0$. Consider a small $\rho<r_{2}$; then there exists $r_{3}<\rho$ such that $\psi\left(r_{3}\right)=0$. The function $\varphi$ has a maximum on $\left[r_{3}, r_{1}\right]$ in a point $\bar{\rho}$ such that $\varphi(\bar{\rho})>\varphi\left(r_{2}\right)>0$. Then $\psi^{\prime}(\bar{\rho})=0$, hence

$$
z^{\prime}(\bar{\rho})=-2 \bar{\rho}^{3}(1+O(\bar{\rho}))
$$

so that we have the estimate (64) at point $\bar{\rho}$, that is to say

$$
|\psi(\bar{\rho})| \leq 4 \sqrt{\frac{2}{3(N-1)}}+o(1)
$$

then $|\psi(\rho)| \leq \psi(\bar{\rho})$, hence we get the estimate (64) at the point $\rho$.
Third case. There exists $\alpha_{0}>0$ such that $\psi(r)>0$ in $] 0, \alpha_{0}$ ], and for any $\alpha>0$, there exists $r<\alpha$ such that $\varphi^{\prime}(r)=0$. Then there exists
$r_{1}<\alpha_{0}$ such that $\varphi^{\prime}\left(r_{1}\right)=0$. Consider a small $\rho<r_{1}$; there exists $r_{2}<\rho$ such that $\varphi^{\prime}\left(r_{2}\right)=0$. The function $\varphi$ has a maximum in $\left[r_{2}, r_{1}\right]$ in a point $\bar{\rho}$ such that $\varphi^{\prime}(\bar{\rho})=0$, hence $\psi^{\prime}(\bar{\rho})=0$. Hence we have again (64) at $\bar{\rho}$, then at $\rho$.

## Step 3. Conclusion.

Consequently in any case we have the estimate (64). We deduce easily that, near the origin:

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(\rho)=1-\frac{\rho^{4}}{2}+\rho^{6} w(\rho) \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
|w(\rho)| \leq 4 \sqrt{\frac{2}{3(N-1)}}+o(1)
$$

Now let us remember that the constant which defines the class of uniqueness in $\S 3$ is $M_{0}=(N+8) / 3 \sqrt{N-1}$, and observe that $4 \sqrt{(2 / 3(N-1))}<M_{0}$ for any $N \geq 2$. Then from Theorem 1 , we deduce that $z$ is equal to $Z$, hence $u$ is equal to $U$, near the origin, and on the whole interval $] 0,+\infty[$.
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